Moving beyond Efficiency to Allow CAT to Provide Better Diagnostic Information

Brian D. Bontempo, Ph.D.

Mountain Measurement, Inc.

www.mountainmeasurement.com

Background

- As CAT researchers and practitioners, our focus is typically on how to build more efficient CATs.
- For a moment, let's focus on what do we do with the CAT data.

Common Test Score Report

John Doe Oct 1, 2011
PASS

The Future

ասիակավավագիակակակակակակակակակակակակակակակա

http://scores.espn.go.com/mlb/boxscore?gameId=310928130



New York Yankees										
Hitters	AB	R	Н	RBI	вв	SO	#P	AVG	ОВР	SLG
D Jeter SS	3	1	0	0	1	1	23	.297	.355	.388
R Pena 2B	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	.100	.159	.175
C Granderson CF	3	1	1	0	0	1	7	.262	.364	.552
a-G Golson PH-CF-LF	3	0	1	0	0	0	15	.182	.250	.182
M Teixeira 1B	4	2	2	5	0	0	17	.248	.341	.494
E Chavez 3B	2	0	1	0	0	1	6	.263	.320	.356
R Cano DH	4	0	0	0	0	0	21	.302	.349	.533
b- <u>J Posada</u> PH-DH	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	.235	.315	.398
N Swisher RF	3	0	1	0	1	0	17	.260	.374	.449

🍪 Tampa Bay Ray	5									
Hitters	AB	R	Н	RBI	вв	so	#P	AVG	ОВР	SLG
D Jennings LF	6	0	0	0	1	1	27	.259	.356	.449
B Upton CF	4	0	0	1	2	1	27	.243	.331	.429
E Longoria 3B	5	2	2	4	2	1	31	.244	.355	.495
M Joyce RF	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	.277	.347	.478
b-R Canzler PH	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	.333	.400	.333
J Ruggiano RF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.248	.273	.400
c- <u>J Jaso</u> PH	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	.224	.298	.354
E Johnson PR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.194	.257	.338
J Lobaton C	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	.118	.231	.147

Types of Reports

- Examinee Reports
- Group Reports (School, Company, Jurisdiction)
- Psychometric Reports

Evolution of Reporting - Examinee Content

- Pass/Fail Decision -> Scores
- Achievement -> Growth
- Raw/Percent Scores -> Universal Ability Estimates
- Overall Score -> Content Subscores

Issues in CAT Examinee Reports

- When modeled unidimensionally, subscores have limited utility
- Error associated with subscore ability estimates is high because there are not enough items in each content area
- Item selection is not optimal because it is based on efficiency of overall score
- Person fit is useless

Solutions to CAT Examinee Reports

- When modeled unidimensionally, content area scores have limited utility
 - Increase Randomization in Item Selection
 - MIRT for subscores
 - Multiple unidimensional scales for subscores
- Not enough items in each content area
 - Non-mutually exclusive content categorization

the second and the second seco

- Implement Multiple Content "Schema"

Solutions to CAT Examinee Reports

 Item selection is not optimal because it is based on efficiency of overall score

- Person fit is useless
 - Smart CATs which add some items that are intentionally selected to
 - ISV
 - Person Fit
 - Cheating
 - More information in smaller test areas

Research Questions for CAT Examinee Reports

- What are the strengths and weaknesses associated with each model for adding more items?
 - Use all items in overall score (But this violates the test blueprint)
 - Select item responses at random from each content area to meet blueprint
 - Select items responses intentionally (highest ISV) from each content area to meet blueprint
 - Select the first set of items administered that meet blueprint

Evolution of Reporting - Group Content

- Passing Rates -> Mean Scores
- Descriptive Statistics -> Distribution
- Normative -> Criterion Referenced
- Percentile Ranks -> Distance to Goal (e.g., cutscore)
- Comparisons -> Profiling

Issues in CAT Group Reports

- Individual subscore ability estimates typically have large amounts of error
- Group sizes may be small

Solutions to CAT Group Reports

 Aggregate the data over the group and calculate subscore ability estimates for the group directly

Research Questions for CAT Group Reports

- What are the parameters around "group ability estimates" being more accurate than the median of individual ability estimates when the sample sizes are small?
 - How many items per person or SEM per person
 - How many examinees per group

Evolution of Reporting - Psychometric Content

the same and the s

- Error
- Fit
- Cheating
- Speed/Time
- Motivation
- Validity

Issues in CAT Psychometric Reports

Traditional item quality statistics have limited usefulness

Solutions to CAT Psychometric Reports

 Implement item selection algorithms that increase the dispersion of the ability of the examinees taking each item

Research Questions for CAT Psychometric Reports

- How can the progressive item selection Algorithm be used to improve dispersion?
 - Bontempo, Kingsbury & Zara (2010)
- Are there other item selection algorithm approaches that might improve dispersion?

The Future of Test Reporting

- Data Visualization
- Interactivity
- Data Driven Interpretation
- MULTImedia
- Integration

Conclusion

- Future CATs will provide better diagnostic information to
 - Examinees
 - Regulators, Educators, Employers
 - Test Developers
- This goal will be accomplished by
 - Smart CATs which collect additional information during the test
 - Psychomagic
- The time is now for Reporting

Thank you for your kind attention

brian@mountainmeasurement.com